UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

CORTEZ DAUNDRE JONES,

Plaintiff,

v.

KING COUNTY COURTHOUSE,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-01152-LK

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DENYING IFP APPLICATION

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") of United States Magistrate Judge Brian A. Tsuchida, recommending denial of pro se Plaintiff Cortez Jones' application to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"). Dkt. No. 3; *see* Dkt. No. 1 (IFP application). Specifically, Judge Tsuchida recommends directing Mr. Jones to pay the usual filing fee and to close the case if he fails to do so. Dkt. No. 3 at 2.

On August 21, 2023, the Court permitted Mr. Jones 30 days to file an amended IFP application to provide complete and detailed financial information so that the Court could properly analyze his IFP request. Dkt. No. 7 at 2. The Court cautioned Mr. Jones that failing to timely

¹ The Court notes that the Ninth Circuit has held that a plaintiff is not entitled to submit written objections to a Magistrate Judge's report and recommendation that IFP status be denied. *Minetti v. Port of Seattle*, 152 F.3d 1113, 1114 (9th Cir. 1998) (per curiam).

submit a revised IFP application would result in the Court's adopting Judge Tsuchida's recommendation that he be required to pay the normal filing fee in order to continue this case. *Id.*

On August 30, 2023, Mr. Jones filed a one-page, blanket objection to the pending R&Rs related to his IFP applications in the dozens of cases he has filed in this district in recent weeks. Dkt. No. 8. On September 8, 2023, however, the Court entered a minute order informing Mr. Jones that his August 30 filing did not comport with the specific directives outlined in the Court's August 21, 2023 Order, and that the deadline set in that Order remained in effect. Dkt. No. 9 at 2 (cautioning Mr. Jones that "[i]f he fails to submit a revised IFP application in this case on or before September 20, 2023, he will be required to pay the normal filing fee in order to continue this case."). ¹

To date, Mr. Jones has not responded to the Court's orders and the Court therefore adopts Judge Tsuchida's R&R and denies his IFP application. Dkt. No. 3; *see United States v. McQuade*, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir. 1981) (per curiam) ("When a claim of poverty is made under section 1915 it is proper and indeed essential for the supporting affidavits to state the facts as to affiant's poverty with some particularity, definiteness and certainty," and it is "within the court's discretion to . . . deny the motion when the [movant is] unable, or unwilling, to verify their poverty." (cleaned up)).

Mr. Jones must pay the usual case filing fee within 21 days of this Order. If he fails to pay the filing fee, the Court will direct the Clerk of Court to close this case. *See Hopkins v. Tacoma Mun. Ct.*, 393 F. App'x 476, 477 (9th Cir. 2010) (affirming dismissal for failure to follow the district court's order to pay the case filing fee); Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b) (permitting dismissal for

Case 2:23-cv-01152-LK Document 10 Filed 09/22/23 Page 3 of 3

failure to comply with court orders). The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to Mr. Jones at his last known address and to Judge Tsuchida. Dated this 22nd day of September, 2023. Lauren King United States District Judge